1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110	MELINDA HAAG (CAB 132612) United States Attorney MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division BLAKE D. STAMM (CTB 301887) Assistant United States Attorney CHARLES A. O'REILLY (CAB 160980) KATHERINE L. WONG (CAB 264056) Trial Attorneys 11th Floor Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7063 Facsimile: (415) 436-6748 Email: Charles.A.O'Reilly@usdoj.gov Blake.D.Stamm2@usdoj.gov				
11	Katherine.Wong@usdoj.gov				
12	Attorneys for the United States of America				
13					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15	SAN JO	OSE DIVISION			
16					
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)			
18	Plaintiff,) No. CR 05-00215-EJ	ID		
19	v.)) UNITED STATES F	PDFTDIAI		
) CONFERENCE STA			
20	SAMUEL S. FUNG and ERIC AARON LIGHTER,) Pretrial Conference:	: September 19, 2011		
21	Defendants.) Time:) Courtroom:	1:30 p.m. Hon. Edward J. Davila		
22	Delenano.		Tion, Laward J. Duvila		
23		_)			
24	Pursuant to Criminal Local Rule 17.1-1	(b) and the Court's Order Re	garding Pretrial		
25	Preparation, the United States submits its Pretr	rial Conference Statement.			
26	I. BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE CASE	<u> </u>			
27	Defendants Samuel S. Fung and Eric A	aron Lighter are charged in th	ne third superseding		
28	C	Defendants Samuel S. Fung and Eric Aaron Lighter are charged in the third superseding indictment with conspiring to impede and impair the United States, conspiring to commit wire fraud,			
	United States' Pretrial Conference Statement Case No. 05-215-EJD				

2 3

20 21

conspiring to commit blackmail and witness tampering, and eight counts of wire fraud, three counts of witness tampering, and three counts of blackmail. In addition, Defendant Fung is charged with twenty-two counts of aiding and assisting in the preparation and presentation of false and fraudulent federal income tax returns.

From as early as August of 1997, and continuing up to and into 2003, Defendant Fung and other individuals associated with National Trust Services (hereinafter NTS), conspired to defraud the United States by the sale of an abusive trust program, and through the preparation of false and fraudulent tax returns derived from the abusive trust program. The IRS began taking action against NTS and NTS clients through examinations and audits as early as 1999. As early as June of 2002, Defendant Lighter joined the conspiracy to impede and impair the assessment and collection of federal income taxes, promising NTS clients that he could hide their assets in order to protect the clients from the assessment and collection of taxes. Defendants Fung and Lighter continued their conspiracy to defraud the United States up to and through March of 2006, when they attempted to convince Dr. Irwin Gootnick to testify favorably for Defendant Fung in his criminal prosecution, and to drop a civil lawsuit that Dr. Gootnick had filed against Defendant Lighter.

In addition to seeking to defraud the United States with respect to federal taxes, Defendants Fung and Lighter conspired to commit wire fraud with respect to individuals affilated with NTS. To that end, Defendants told their clients that if the clients paid them money and transferred assets into Defendant Lighter's control, Defendants Fung and Lighter could assist them in avoiding taxes, penalties, and interest. Furthermore, Defendants Fung and Lighter attempted to blackmail a witness, Dr. Gootnick, into testifying favorably for Defendant Fung in a criminal prosecution and dropping a civil lawsuit that Dr. Gootnick had brought against Defendant Lighter.

(1) Disclosure and Contemplated Use of Statements or Reports of Witnesses Under the <u>Jencks</u> Act, Title 18, United States Code Section 3500, and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 26.2

The United States has provided all discoverable statements to the defendants pursuant to Title 18, United States Code Section 3500 and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 26.2.

	С	ase5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document179 Filed09/06/11 Page3 of 6
1 2	(2)	Disclosure and Contemplated Use of Grand Jury Testimony of Witnesses Intended To Be Called at Trial
3		The United States has disclosed all grand jury testimony of witnesses intended to be called at
4	trial.	
56	(3)	Disclosure of Exculpatory or Other Evidence Favorable to the Defendants on the Issue of Guilt or Punishment
7		The United States has disclosed all known exculpatory evidence or other evidence favorable
8	to the	defendants on the issue of guilt and punishment.
9 10	(4)	Stipulations of Facts Which May Be Deemed Proved at the Trial Without Further Proof by Either Party and Limitation of Witnesses
11		The United States and Defendants will be conferring to determine whether there are any facts
12	to whi	ch the parties will stipulate and whether the parties may be able to stipulate to the authenticity
13	of any	exhibits, thereby avoiding the necessity of calling custodial or other witnesses.
14		
15	(5)	Appointment by the Court of Interpreters Under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 28
16		No interpreters are required.
17 18	(6)	Dismissal of Counts and Elimination From the Case of Certain Issues, e.g., Insanity, Alibi and Statute of Limitations
19		The defendants have raised no defense based upon insanity, alibi or statute of limitations.
20 21	(7)	Joinder Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 13 or Severance of Trial as to Any Co-Defendant
22		Defendants' previous motions for severance were denied by this Court by orders dated
23	March	10, 2008, and January 13, 2010. There are currently no motions for severance outstanding.
24		
25	(8)	Identification of Informers, Use of Lineup or Other Identification Evidence and Evidence of Prior Convictions of Defendants or Any Witness, Etc.

convictions. However, Defendant Fung was permanently enjoined from preparing tax returns by

United States' Pretrial Conference Statement

Case No. 05-215-EJD

26

27

28

will be used in this case. None of the witnesses nor Defendant Fung have any discoverable prior

No identifications by informers, use of a lineup or other identification evidence of that nature

1	order dated February 9, 2004 in <i>United States v. Fung</i> , 03-cv-3123-CO (D.Or. 2004).		
2	On October 23, 2003, Defendant Lighter entered a plea of no contest to Theft in the 2nd		
3	Degree, in violation of Hawaii Statute Section 708-831(1)(b), H.R.S. Defendant Lighter also has		
4	several older criminal items, including two citations for contempt of court from 1987 and 1993.		
5			
6	(9) Pretrial Exchange of List of Witnesses Intended To Be Called in Person or by Deposition to Testify at Trial, Except Those Who May be Called Only for Impeachment or Rebuttal		
7	The United States will provide a list of witnesses intended to be called to testify in its		
8	case-in-chief.		
9			
10	(10) Pretrial Exchange of Documents, Exhibits, Summaries, Schedules, Models or Diagrams Intended to be Offered or Used at Trial, Except		
11	Materials That May be Used Only for Impeachment or Rebuttal		
12	The United States will provide documents, exhibits, summaries, schedules and diagrams		
13	intended to be offered or used at trial in their respective cases-in-chief no later than two weeks price		
14	to the Pretrial Conference. Unless stipulations are reached ahead of trial, the summary charts		
15	provided will be drafts of the final summary charts because these charts cannot be finalized prior to		
16	the introduction of evidence at trial.		
17			
18	(11) Pretrial Resolution of Objections to Exhibits or Testimony To Be Offered at Trial		
19	To the extent possible, the United States will raise objections to exhibits and proposed		
20	testimony to be offered at trial prior to the commencement of trial.		
21			
22	(12) <u>Preparation of Pretrial Briefs on Controverted Points of Law Likely To Arise at Trial</u>		
23	The United States will submit a trial brief covering controverted points of law likely to arise		
24	at trial no later than one week prior to the commencement of trial.		
25			
26	(13) Scheduling of the Trial and of the Witnesses		
27	The United States is unaware of any scheduling issues. To the extent possible, the United		
28	States will submit a trial schedule, including likely witness order, no later than the day of jury		

	C	ase5:05-cr-00215-EJD Document179 Filed09/06/11 Page5 of 6
1 2 3 4 5	selecti (14) Crimin	Request To Submit Questionnaire For Prospective Jurors Pursuant To Criminal Local Rule 24-1, Voir Dire Questions, Exercise of Peremptory and Cause Challenges and Jury Instructions The United States will submit its proposed questionnaires for prospective jurors pursuant to nal Local Rule 24-1 and voir dire questions no later than ten days prior to the Pretrial
6 7	Confe	rence, as directed by the Court.
8 9 10	(15)	Any Other Matter Which May Tend To Promote a Fair and Expeditious Trial The United States is unaware of any additional matters, but will raise any other matter which end to promote a fair and expeditious trial at the soonest practicable time.
111213		Respectfully submitted, MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
141516		
16 17		/s/ Charles A. O'Reilly CHARLES A. O'REILLY Trial Attorney
18 19		/s/ Katherine L. Wong KATHERINE L. WONG Trial Attorney
20 21		
2223		
2425		
2627		

28

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on the 6th day of September 2011, I electronically transmitted the foregoing
3	document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF system for filing and transmittal of a Notice of
4	Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:
5	Jerry Y. Fong, Esq.
6 Carey & Carey	
7	Palo Alto, CA 94302-1940 jf@careyandcareylaw.com
8	Counsel for Eric Aaron Lighter
9	
10	Peter Alan Leeming, Esq.
11	Attorney at Law 108 Locust St. Suite 7 Scotts Charles CA 05062
12	Santa Cruz, CA 95062 paleeming@sbcglobal.net
14	Counsel for Samuel S. Fung
15	
16	
17	<u>/s/ Charles A. O'Reilly</u> CHARLES A. O'REILLY
18	Trial Attorney
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	